The *Proofpoint Quarterly Threat Report* highlights the trends and key takeaways of attacks we see within our large customer base and in the wider threat landscape.

Every day, we analyze more than 1 billion email messages, hundreds of millions of social media posts, and more than 150 million malware samples to protect organizations around the world from advanced threats. We continue to see sophisticated threats across three primary vectors: email, social media, and cloud apps. That gives us a unique vantage point from which to reveal and analyze the tactics, tools, and targets of today’s cyber attacks.

This report is designed to provide actionable intelligence you can use to better combat today’s attacks, anticipate emerging threats, and manage your security posture. Along with our findings, the report recommends steps you can take to protect your people, data, and brand.
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KEY TAKEAWAYS: COIN MINERS AND RANSOMWARE ARE FRONT AND CENTER

Here are the key takeaways from the fourth quarter of 2017.

**EMAIL**

The volume of messages bearing malicious document attachments jumped 300%.
Much of this traffic stemmed from massive attack campaigns that abused Microsoft’s **DYNAMIC DATA EXCHANGE** protocol and used social engineering.

**RANSOMWARE** remained the top payload distributed by malicious messages.
This type of attack accounted for 57% of all malicious message volume.

The number of ransomware payment demands denominated in Bitcoin fell 73% amid wide swings in the **CRYPTOCURRENCY**’s value.
Attackers are increasingly setting ransom amounts in terms of U.S. dollars or local currency (though the payment itself is usually still in cryptocurrency).

**THE TRICK** was the most used banking Trojan.
It accounted for 84% of all malicious spam that contained a banking Trojan.

Lookalike and **TYPOSQUATTED** domains were used in a wide range of attacks
Character-swapping was the top technique used to create domains that could be confused with an established brand or organization.

**EXPLOIT KITS AND WEB-BASED ATTACKS**

Social engineering techniques grew as browser exploits fell among high-profile, web-based attack campaigns.
**EXPLOIT KIT** (EK) traffic fell 31% from the previous quarter. The RIG EK was the most used EK.

**SOCIAL MEDIA**

The number of fraudulent customer-support accounts on social media rose 30%.
At the same time, phishing links in social media grew 70% from the previous quarter.

**DYNAMIC DATA EXCHANGE**

Dynamic Data Exchange (DDE) is a 20-year-old communications protocol in Microsoft Windows that allows documents to pull information from other documents. The technique has been largely replaced by newer protocols but is still supported in Windows.

**RANSOMWARE**

This type of malware locks away victims’ data by encrypting it, then demands a "ransom" to unlock it with a decryption key.

**CRYPTOCURRENCY**

A form of digital money designed to be secure and anonymous, making it well suited for ransomware payments that cannot be traced to the attacker.

**THE TRICK**

The Trick, also known as TrickBot, is a banking Trojan closely related to Dyre. While its operators were arrested in 2015 by Russian authorities, it saw a resurgence in 2017.

**TYPOSQUATTING**

Fraudsters register domains that are misspellings or typographically mangled versions of a legitimate domain to trick users who mistype the URL or do not look closely at email headers.

**EXPLOIT KIT**

Exploit kits (EKs) run on the web, detecting and exploiting vulnerabilities in computers that connect to compromised sites, malicious ads, and attacker-controlled landing pages. EKs, often sold to attackers as a service, make it easy to infect PCs in “drive-by” malware downloads and are increasingly being used to deliver social engineering attacks that do not rely on active exploits.
EMAIL: MALICIOUS DOCUMENTS OVERTAKE URLS

Key stat: The volume of messages with malicious document attachments jumped 300% from the third quarter.

The global volume of messages carrying malicious attachments rebounded, surging more than 300% vs. the previous quarter. Driven by high-volume campaigns from threat actor TA505, these messages often distributed The Trick banking Trojan or an assortment of ransomware strains, including LOCKY and GLOBEIMPOSTER.

Several attackers seized on the disclosure of a technique for abusing Microsoft’s Dynamic Data Exchange (DDE) to deliver malware in large and small campaigns.

By the end of October, attackers had largely abandoned the technique as they turned to their usual methods of exploiting malicious macros and other forms of embedded code. But sporadic campaigns using the DDE technique continued in November and December, as the technique took its place in threat actors’ rotating toolkit.

Conversely, malicious URL use plummeted—the exceptionally high volumes of the third quarter proved to be an anomaly. Still, all attack types remain popular with a variety of threat actors.

Figure 1 shows dramatic swings in the volume of malicious messages that use malicious URLs, document attachments, and archive file attachments (such as ZIP or 7-Zip). These constant shifts highlight attackers’ flexibility. They continually vary attack types, payloads, and infection techniques to grow more effective and get the biggest returns.
BANKING TROJAN
This type of malware steals victims’ bank login credentials, usually by redirecting their browsers to a fake version of their bank’s website or injecting fake login forms into the real site.

ZEUS PANDA
Also known as Panda Banker, this banking Trojan is related to Zeus, one of the earliest banking Trojans.

COIN MINERS
Cryptocurrency is created through a “mining” process that uses computer power to solve complex math problems. Coin miners are malware strains that hijack infected systems for this purpose, generating cryptocurrency for the threat actor distributing the malware.

WEBINJECT
A technique that alters web pages as they are displayed to the users. Attackers use webinjects to append insecure forms to seemingly secure websites. When users fill out the forms (for example, with their banking credentials), that information is sent to the attacker instead of the bank.

BANKING TROJANS: NOT JUST FOR BANKING
Key stat: Messages distributing The Trick accounted for 84% of BANKING TROJAN message volume.

The Trick extended its run as the top banking Trojan by global message volume. It appeared in six times as many messages than all other observed banking Trojans combined. This is a far cry from 2016, when Dridex and Vawtrak were the top bankers and The Trick was limited to mostly small, geo-targeted campaigns.

Along with The Trick, ZEUS PANDA (aka Panda Banker) and Emotet also appeared frequently in Q4 campaigns. And several regular attackers quickly adopted a new Trojan called IcedID.

Some banking Trojans—most notably, The Trick—added cryptocurrency mining modules or bots. Other banker campaigns added COIN MINERS as later-stage payloads, expanding a trend that we reported in Q3.

In past years, the autumn months have seen more variation in targeting by banking Trojans. The fourth quarter of 2017 was the same. Zeus Panda campaigns that supplemented and expanded the bot’s customary online banking WEBINJECTS with injects targeting the online shopping sites for a variety of popular brick-and-mortar retailers.

These changes serve as a sharp reminder that banking Trojans are by no means limited to targeting the customers of financial services firms. Online customers of any business or service are potential targets.

RANSOMWARE: BITCOIN VOLATILITY SHAKES UP THE BUSINESS
Key Stat: The use of Bitcoin to denominate ransomware demands fell 73%.

Despite a surge in banking Trojan message volume—largely driven by large campaigns by a single attacker using The Trick—ransomware remained the dominant malicious payload in email campaigns. It accounted for more than 57% of all malicious messages, as shown in Figure 2.

![Malware by Category, Q4 2017](image)

Figure 2: Share of global malicious message volume by malware family, Q4 2017

For much of the last two years, attackers’ ransoms have been denominated in Bitcoin values. The amount demanded is expressed as some number of bitcoins, whether a full integer or a fraction such as “0.5” or “0.15.”
Surging cryptocurrency values are a boon for holders of Bitcoin. But they are a challenge for anyone who tries to price their product or service in Bitcoin—threat actors included.

In Q4, newer ransomware strains appeared to take this into account. Sigma ransomware first appeared in mid-November demanding a payment denominated in U.S. dollars.

Denominating ransoms in a government-issued currency—even if the actual payment is made in the form of Bitcoin—has two big benefits for an attacker. It allows the threat actors to maintain pricing stability and still accept their payments anonymously, and in a currency that, for the moment, continues to appreciate quickly.

By analyzing ransomware demands over a 90-day period into mid-December, it is easy to understand that the currency switch was part of a broad trend across a range of attacks (Figure 3).

![Figure 3: Ransomware ransoms denominated in Bitcoin vs. traditional currency 90-day trend](image)

Denominating ransomware demands in traditional currency instead of or in addition to Bitcoin clearly correlates to the surge in Bitcoin valuations. Economics would suggest that the latter actually causes the former.

This trend may reverse if Bitcoin prices fall back to earth. No matter what happens, the correlation is more evidence of modern cybercriminals’ profit motive. They choose the tools and techniques that will best enable them to “follow the money.”

**TARGETED THREAT ACTORS BREAK THE SURFACE**

Many of the campaigns tracked by our researchers in Q4 were broadly distributed commodity malware payloads. But we also analyzed and reported on activities by several highly targeted threat actors, including the Lazarus Group, APT28, and a new threat actor we dubbed Leviathan.

Email and documents used in these attacks were often personalized and tailored to the interests and business of the targeted recipient. They used stolen branding and public documents. And they took advantage of typosquatted or lookalike domains to trick recipients into clicking links or downloading files.
EMAIL FRAUD THREATS: MAKING SENSE OF FRAUDULENT DOMAIN NAMING PRACTICES

Key stat: The average number of DEFENSIVE DOMAIN REGISTRATIONS is 300 domains. For large enterprises, suspiciously registered domains can outnumber brand-registered domains 20 to 1.

Our research suggests that threat actors are dramatically outpacing brands in the registration of suspicious domains vs. defensive registrations. This wide gap leaves brands open to fraud, phishing, spoofing, and more.

To defend themselves, organizations do not have to register every possible permutation of their domain or domains. Instead, they can analyze the most common changes and substitutions to prioritize their defensive registrations and manage a more reasonable subset of potential typosquatted domains.

Lookalike domains account for just over 3% of email fraud attempts overall. But they make up a disproportionate number of domains used in email fraud, phishing, ANGLER PHISHING, and other attacks.

While some observers pay more attention to fraudulent registrations in new or unusual top-level domains (TLDs), suspicious registrations in the standard “.com” remain by far the most common.

Nearly 82% of such registrations use “.com.” Moreover, almost 90% of suspicious registrations used the same TLD as the brand they impersonated. Email fraudsters often use simple variations on the legitimate domain names within the TLD of the brand they are trying to impersonate.

Figure 4 highlights common spelling patterns in suspicious domain registrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Cousin Domain</th>
<th>Different TLD</th>
<th>Same TLD</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual character swapped</td>
<td>3.49%</td>
<td>37.60%</td>
<td>41.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inserted additional character</td>
<td>0.97%</td>
<td>31.15%</td>
<td>32.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added or removed leading/trailing characters</td>
<td>0.73%</td>
<td>12.51%</td>
<td>13.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed character</td>
<td>0.41%</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
<td>5.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exact match hyphenated</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
<td>3.40%</td>
<td>4.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exact match</td>
<td>3.40%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>3.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td><strong>10.23%</strong></td>
<td><strong>89.77%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Typosquatting techniques

Swapping individual characters of a brand name within the same TLD is the most common typosquatting technique. Figure 5 breaks out specific letter swaps.

Type of Character Replacement in Suspicious Registrations

![Character replacement patterns in suspected domain typosquatting](image-url)
WEB-BASED THREATS: CONSOLIDATION AND SOCIAL ENGINEERING

Key stat: Observed exploit kit traffic decreased 31% from Q3.

Already subdued exploit kit traffic—which had been holding steady for several quarters at roughly 10% of its 2016 peak—fell further in Q4. The **RIG EK** accounted for almost 98% of observed exploit kit traffic in Q4 2017. But its share of overall traffic decreased at the end of the quarter in the face of a late surge by Magnitude EK (Figure 6).

![Top Exploit Kits Activity Trend, Q4 2017](image)

**Figure 6: Top exploit kit traffic as percentage of total, October–December 2017**

The big story was the discovery of a large, sophisticated malvertising campaign targeting users of a popular adult video site. Instead of exploiting technical flaws in user’s web browser, the attacks tricked people into installing malware themselves. Attackers used sophisticated filtering to target by location and internet provider. Targeted users were presented with a webpage asking them to download an update to their browser or Adobe Flash. Instead, they got the Kovter ad fraud malware, a technique seen in October’s **BAD RABBIT** ransomware outbreak.

Attackers face a dearth of viable web browser exploits and the general limitations of exploits as an infection technique. As foreshadowed by early examples in late 2016, they have turned to social engineering-based approaches similar to those used in email attacks—often to great effect.

---

**RIG EK**
RIG has become the most widespread exploit kits in the wake of Angler’s disappearance after the arrests of its operators in June 2016.

**BAD RABBIT**
The ransomware strain first appeared in October, targeting people in Russia and Ukraine. It is similar to the NotPetya strain of ransomware. Disguised as an Adobe Flash update, it infects systems through “drive-by” downloads but requires the victim to launch the bogus update.
Social Media Threats Surge into 2018

Key stat: Fraudulent customer support accounts on social media grew 30% over the previous quarter and year-ago totals.

Threats in social media surged last quarter. The number of fake customer support accounts grew 30% compared to both the previous quarter and to the same period in 2016.

After remaining flat for most of 2017, phishing links in social media also showed strong growth in Q4, jumping nearly 70% over Q3 (Figure 8).

Social Media Support Fraud Accounts vs Phishing Links, 6-Month Trend
RECOMMENDATIONS
This report provides insight into the shifting threat landscape that can inform your cybersecurity strategy. Here are our top recommendations for how you can protect your company and brand in the coming months.

Assume users will click. Social engineering is increasingly the most popular way to launch email attacks and criminals continue to find new ways to exploit the human factor. Leverage a solution that identifies and quarantines both inbound email threats targeting employees and outbound threats targeting customers before they reach the inbox.

Build a robust email fraud defense. Highly-targeted, low volume email fraud scams often have no payload at all and are thus difficult to detect. Invest in a solution that has dynamic classification capabilities that you can use to build quarantine and blocking policies.

Protect your brand reputation and customers. Fight attacks targeting your customers over social media, email, and mobile—especially fraudulent accounts that piggyback on your brand. Look for a comprehensive social media security solution that scans all social networks and reports fraudulent activity.

Partner with a threat intelligence vendor. Smaller, more targeted attacks call for sophisticated threat intelligence. Leverage a solution that combines static and dynamic techniques to detect new attack tools, tactics, and targets, as well as a constantly shifting landscape—and then learns from them.

For the latest threat research and guidance about today’s advanced threats and digital risks, visit proofpoint.com/us/threat-insight
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